



# *University of* **HUDDERSFIELD**

## **University of Huddersfield Repository**

Ousey, Karen and Wasek, Stephanie

Clinician perspectives on medical adhesive-related skin injuries

### **Original Citation**

Ousey, Karen and Wasek, Stephanie (2016) Clinician perspectives on medical adhesive-related skin injuries. *Wounds UK*, 12 (4). pp. 42-46. ISSN 1746-6814

This version is available at <http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/id/eprint/30112/>

The University Repository is a digital collection of the research output of the University, available on Open Access. Copyright and Moral Rights for the items on this site are retained by the individual author and/or other copyright owners. Users may access full items free of charge; copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided:

- The authors, title and full bibliographic details is credited in any copy;
- A hyperlink and/or URL is included for the original metadata page; and
- The content is not changed in any way.

For more information, including our policy and submission procedure, please contact the Repository Team at: [E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk](mailto:E.mailbox@hud.ac.uk).

<http://eprints.hud.ac.uk/>

## Clinician perspectives on medical adhesive-related skin injuries

### Abstract

Medical adhesive-related skin injury (MARSİ) is a prevalent, under-recognised and preventable complication that occurs across all care settings, age groups and patient types. Use of medical adhesives may affect skin integrity, cause pain, increase risk of infection, potentially increase wound size and delay healing, all of which reduce patient quality of life unnecessarily. In addition, MARSİ is costly in terms of nursing time and costs. A new survey of UK wound care clinicians sought to understand clinician experiences of and perspectives on MARSİ and found that incidence of MARSİ is high, yet education around assessment of risk and prevention are low. The results of the survey show that clinicians both need and want improved educational efforts around MARSİ awareness, identification of patients at risk of MARSİ and strategies for preventing MARSİ. Broadly, more research on the exact pathophysiology of MARSİ is needed, in order to deepen understanding and aid the development of formal MARSİ education programmes.

### Suggested key words

Medical adhesive-related skin injury

Fragile skin

Prevention and education

Author(s)

Karen Ousey

Professor of Skin Integrity, School of Human and Health Sciences, and Director, Institute of Skin Integrity and Infection Prevention, University of Huddersfield, UK; Clinical Associate Professor, Australian Catholic University; Adjunct Clinical Professor, Queensland University of Technology

Stephanie Wasek

Independent medical writer, London, UK

Medical adhesive-related skin injury (MARSİ) is a prevalent, under-recognised and preventable complication that occurs across all care settings, age groups and patient types, from healthy patients in ambulatory care, to patients with multiple comorbidities in critical care (McNichol et al, 2013). MARSİ has been defined as “an occurrence in which erythema and/or other manifestation of *cutaneous abnormality* (including, but not limited to, vesicle, bulla, erosion, or tear) persists 30 minutes or more after removal of the adhesive” (McNichol et al, 2013).

When superficial layers of skin are removed by medical adhesive, the process may affect skin integrity, cause pain, increase risk of infection, potentially increase wound size and delay healing, all of which reduce patient quality of life unnecessarily (Cutting, 2008). In some cases, adhesives can also cause deeper tissue injuries beyond the loss of superficial skin layers (Denyer, 2011). Although

the injuries caused by medical adhesives may look minor, care and management of MARSIs has a deleterious effect on nursing resources. One recent survey that specifically explored injuries caused by medical tapes found that nurses treated these injuries approximately five times a week, an average of 7.8 times per patient, at a cost of approximately €1.23 (£1.1) per treatment application — or ~€8.86 (£7.99) per patient through to healing (Maene, 2013).

### **Gaps in understanding of MARSIs prevalence**

For example, these injuries have been reported as the most common source of skin breakdown in Neo Natal Intensive Care Units (Kuller McManus, 2001). Furthermore, incidence in the nursing home setting has been recorded as 15.5% (Konya et al, 2010). One survey identified that 98.6% of registered nurses working in the nursing home setting said skin tears were common to extremely common among their patients (White, 2001). A more recent survey of hospital-based nurses found that nearly all (n=41) respondents had treated skin injury due to adhesive use in the 12 months leading up to the survey, with a MARSIs incidence rate of 7.1% and an average of 2.8 injuries per patient who suffered skin damage (Maene, 2013).

Although there is a body of knowledge surrounding skin tears, these injuries can be caused by factors other than MARSIs (LeBlanc and Baranoski, 2011). Furthermore, much of the existing research on skin injuries in general has focused on the use of medical tapes, and does not account for the more recent, broader definition of MARSIs, which factors in appropriateness of tape selection, appropriateness of dressing selection, adequacy of skin preparation and whether adhesive removal was carried out correctly. The lack of specific and well-defined research into MARSIs perhaps attests to a gap in the knowledge of wound care professionals, as well as under-reporting across settings. To deepen understanding around the prevalence and issues surrounding the full breadth of MARSIs, a survey was commissioned.

### **Methodology**

In August and September 2016, a web-based survey was distributed to UK-based wound care clinicians via SurveyMonkey by Wounds UK (Wounds UK, 2016). Overall, 918 clinicians responded to the survey. Specialities included wound care (37%), GPs (11%) and geriatric clinicians (8%). Nearly one-third (296 respondents) classed their specialism as "other," which included podiatrists (n=61), community nurses (n=71) and district nurses (n=19) as well as vascular, care of the elderly and neonatal clinicians. The split of settings (n=907) respondents work in was 35.4% in the hospital, 28% in community nursing, 7.8% in nursing homes and 12.9% in GP practice. Other settings (15.9%) responses included hospice, clinic and those who worked in a mix of setting types. The survey sought to understand the incidence and causes of MARSIs, as well as levels of awareness and education regarding MARSIs and its prevention. Statistical analysis was carried out by an independent medical writer after completion of the survey.

### **Results**

In order to establish the extent to which there is potential for MARSIs, the survey explored weekly patient caseloads and the percentage of these patients seen who present with fragile skin. The most frequently given response for number of patients seen each week was 10–20 (35.9%), with 60.6% of

these respondents saying that more than half their patients have fragile skin. Overall, more than half of respondents reported that at least 60% of the patients they see have fragile skin (Figures 1a and 1b). The majority of participants recognise that a wide variety of injury types and skin damage can occur as a result of medical adhesives; only folliculitis lagged in terms of awareness (Figure 2). Folliculitis is an inflammation of the hair follicle.

### *Frequency and aetiology of MARSI*

This discrepancy in awareness may be explained by the infrequency with which folliculitis occurs -- it is much more rare than other types of MARSI (Figure 3). The results show that there is strong understanding of the causes of skin stripping, tension injury and maceration in particular (Figure 4). Although most research into skin injury has focused on medical tape, two of the top three clinical applications associated with MARSI are non-surgical wound care dressings (67%) and surgical wound care dressings (43%) (Figure 5).

### *Clinician knowledge of MARSI*

Despite these results, 70.5% of respondents say that MARSI are not recorded in their facility. And just 31.3% of respondents have heard of MARSI as a collective way to describe forms of skin damage caused by medical adhesives. Although only 37% of respondents expressed concern about the incidence MARSI in their area of work, 72% reported that the prevention and management of MARSI should be an integral part of skin and wound care training (based on a score of 8, 9 or 10 out of 10). Encouragingly, 78% of respondents said they have used a barrier film to protect the skin before applying medical adhesives, and 11.7% reported that they use barrier film routinely on all patients (91–100%) — indicating that there is a level of familiarity with and understanding of the types of steps that can be taken to prevent MARSI.

## **Discussion**

Perhaps due to the frequency with which MARSI occurs, there is a low level of clinician concern. However, this may be better explained by correspondingly low levels of MARSI-related education: Over 80% of respondents described the level of training in the area of prevention and treatment of MARSI as either inadequate or unavailable. Furthermore, an overwhelming 97% of respondents said they would recommend that the prevention of MARSI and the identification of patients at risk of MARSI should be integral components of skin assessment.

The results of the survey demonstrate there is a clear and present need for improvement of educational efforts around MARSI awareness, identification of patients at risk of MARSI and strategies for preventing MARSI. Not only does the need exist, but wound care clinicians desire more MARSI-related education. Current research in the field of MARSI has shown that there are several causal factors: composition of the adhesive, length of time the adhesive is left in place, intrinsic patient factors (e.g. very young or very old age, underlying medical conditions), condition and environment of the skin, and extrinsic and/or treatment factors (e.g. certain medications, repeated use of adhesives over a prolonged period) (McNichol et al, 2013; Zeng et al, 2016). More research is needed to pinpoint the precise pathophysiology of MARSI, and more efforts are needed to develop formal MARSI education and prevention programmes (McNichol et al, 2013).

## References

- Cutting KF (2008) Impact of adhesive surgical tape and wound dressings on the skin, with reference to skin stripping. *J Wound Care* 17(4):157-8, 160-2.
- Denyer J (2011) Reducing pain during the removal of adhesive and adherent products. *Br J Nurs*. 2011 ; 20 ( suppl 15 ): S28-S35 .
- Konya C, Sanada H, Sugama J, et al (2010) Skin injuries caused by medical adhesive tape in older people and associated factors. *J Clin Nurs* 19(9-10):1236–42.
- Kuller-McManus J (2001) Skin breakdown: Risk factors, prevention and treatment. *Newborn Infant Rev* 1(21): 35-42.
- LeBlanc K, Baranoski S (2011) Skin tears: State of science: Consensus statement of the prevention, prediction, assessment and treatment of skin tears. *Adv Skin Wound Care* 24(9): 2–15
- Maene B (2013) Hidden costs of medical tape-induced skin injuries. *Wounds UK* 9(1):46-50.
- McNichol L, Lund C, Rosen T, Gray M. Medical Adhesives and Patient Safety: State of the Science: Consensus statements for the assessment, prevention, and treatment of adhesive-related skin injuries. *J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs* 2013;40(4):365–80.
- White R (2001) Skin tears: a descriptive study of the opinions, clinical practice and knowledge base of RNs caring for the aged in high care residence facilities. *Primary Intention* 9(4):138-49.
- Wounds UK (2016) Wound care questionnaire. Clinician survey conducted on behalf of 3M. London, UK.
- Zeng LA, Lie SA, Chong SY (2016) Comparison of medical adhesive tapes in patients at risk of facial skin trauma under anesthesia. *Anesthesiol Res Pract* 2016; 2016: 4878246.